

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/26881699>

Detection of an Infectious Retrovirus, XMRV, in Blood Cells of Patients with Chronic Fatigue Syndrome

Article in *Science* · October 2009

DOI: 10.1126/science.1179052 · Source: PubMed

CITATIONS

478

READS

1,111

13 authors, including:



Cari Sadowski

Fujifilm Diosynth Biotechnologies

32 PUBLICATIONS 1,303 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)



Bert Gold

Natera, Inc.

143 PUBLICATIONS 10,251 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)



Judy Mikovits

MARC inc

101 PUBLICATIONS 3,753 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)

Some of the authors of this publication are also working on these related projects:



vedius [View project](#)



HIV-1 nucleocapsid inhibitors screen [View project](#)

This copy is for your personal, non-commercial use only.

If you wish to distribute this article to others, you can order high-quality copies for your colleagues, clients, or customers by [clicking here](#).

Permission to republish or repurpose articles or portions of articles can be obtained by following the guidelines [here](#).

The following resources related to this article are available online at www.sciencemag.org (this information is current as of May 6, 2010):

Updated information and services, including high-resolution figures, can be found in the online version of this article at:

<http://www.sciencemag.org/cgi/content/full/326/5952/585>

Supporting Online Material can be found at:

<http://www.sciencemag.org/cgi/content/full/1179052/DC1>

A list of selected additional articles on the Science Web sites **related to this article** can be found at:

<http://www.sciencemag.org/cgi/content/full/326/5952/585#related-content>

This article **cites 20 articles**, 7 of which can be accessed for free:

<http://www.sciencemag.org/cgi/content/full/326/5952/585#otherarticles>

This article has been **cited by** 11 articles hosted by HighWire Press; see:

<http://www.sciencemag.org/cgi/content/full/326/5952/585#otherarticles>

This article appears in the following **subject collections**:

Virology

<http://www.sciencemag.org/cgi/collection/virology>

the pathophysiology of chytridiomycosis appears to be disruption to the osmoregulatory functioning of the skin and consequent osmotic imbalance that leads to cardiac standstill.

To test whether treating electrolyte abnormalities would reduce the clinical signs of disease, we administered an oral electrolyte supplement to *L. caerulea* in the terminal stages of infection, when they lost the righting reflex and could no longer correct their body positions (26). Frogs under treatment recovered a normal posture and became more active; one individual recovered sufficiently to climb out of the water onto the container walls, and two individuals were able to jump to avoid capture. These signs of recovery were not observed in any untreated frogs. In addition, treated frogs lived >20 hours longer than untreated frogs [mean time after treatment \pm SEM: treated frogs ($N = 9$), 32 ± 2.8 hours; control frogs ($N = 6$), 10.7 ± 2.2 hours; Student's *t* test, $P < 0.001$]. All treated frogs continued to shed skin and ultimately died from the infection, as expected. It is unlikely that electrolyte treatment could prevent death unless the epidermal damage caused by *Bd* is reversed. Although amphibians can generally tolerate greater electrolyte fluctuations than other terrestrial vertebrates (18), we suggest that depletion of electrolytes, especially potassium, is important in the pathophysiology of chytridiomycosis. Amphibian plasma potassium concentrations are maintained at constant levels across seasons (27), and even moderate hypokalemia is dangerous in humans (28).

Our results support the epidermal dysfunction hypothesis, which suggests that *Bd* disrupts cutaneous osmoregulatory function, leading to electrolyte imbalance and death. The ability of *Bd* to

compromise the epidermis explains how a superficial skin fungus can be fatal to many species of amphibians; their existence depends on the physiological interactions of the skin with the external environment (16–19). Disease outbreaks capable of causing population declines require the alignment of multiple variables, including a life-compromising pathophysiology (1). Resolving the pathogenesis of chytridiomycosis is a key step in understanding this unparalleled pandemic.

References and Notes

1. P. Daszak, A. A. Cunningham, A. D. Hyatt, *Divers. Distrib.* **9**, 141 (2003).
2. F. de Castro, B. Bolker, *Ecol. Lett.* **8**, 117 (2005).
3. L. Berger *et al.*, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **95**, 9031 (1998).
4. D. B. Wake, V. T. Vredenburg, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **105**, 11466 (2008).
5. H. McCallum, *Conserv. Biol.* **19**, 1421 (2005).
6. K. R. Lips *et al.*, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **103**, 3165 (2006).
7. L. F. Skerratt *et al.*, *EcoHealth* **4**, 125 (2007).
8. L. M. Schloegel *et al.*, *EcoHealth* **3**, 35 (2006).
9. D. C. Woodhams, R. A. Alford, *Conserv. Biol.* **19**, 1449 (2005).
10. K. M. Mitchell, T. S. Churcher, T. W. J. Garner, M. C. Fisher, *Proc. R. Soc. London Ser. B* **275**, 329 (2008).
11. M. Schaechter, B. I. Eisensteing, G. Medoff, in *Mechanisms of Microbial Disease* (Williams & Wilkins, Baltimore, 1998), pp. 419–439.
12. J. E. Longcore, A. P. Pessier, D. K. Nichols, *Mycologia* **91**, 219 (1999).
13. L. Berger *et al.*, *Dis. Aquat. Organ.* **68**, 65 (2005).
14. D. C. Woodhams *et al.*, *Anim. Conserv.* **10**, 409 (2007).
15. E. B. Rosenblum, J. E. Stajick, N. Maddox, M. B. Eisen, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **105**, 17034 (2008).
16. H. Heatwole, in *Amphibian Biology, Vol. 1. The Integument*, H. Heatwole, G. T. Bartholomew, Eds. (Surrey Beatty, Chipping Norton, New South Wales, 1994), pp. 98–168.
17. R. G. Boutilier, D. F. Stiffler, D. P. Toews, in *Environmental Physiology of the Amphibians*, M. E. Feder, W. W. Burggren, Eds. (Univ. of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1992), pp. 81–124.

18. I. J. Deyrup, in *Physiology of the Amphibia*, J. A. Moore, Ed. (Academic Press, New York, 1964), vol. 1, pp. 251–315.
19. K. M. Wright, B. R. Whitaker, in *Amphibian Medicine and Captive Husbandry*, K. M. Wright, B. R. Whitaker, Eds. (Krieger, Malabar, FL, 2001), pp. 318–319.
20. J. Voyles *et al.*, *Dis. Aquat. Organ.* **77**, 113 (2007).
21. L. Berger, G. Marantelli, L. F. Skerratt, R. Speare, *Dis. Aquat. Organ.* **68**, 47 (2005).
22. D. J. Benos, L. J. Mandel, R. S. Balaban, *J. Gen. Physiol.* **73**, 307 (1979).
23. R. H. Alvarado, T. H. Dietz, T. L. Mullen, *Am. J. Physiol.* **229**, 869 (1975).
24. G. A. Castillo, G. G. Orce, *Comp. Biochem. Physiol. A* **118**, 1145 (1997).
25. N. A. Paradis, H. R. Halperin, R. M. Nowak, in *Cardiac Arrest: The Science and Practice of Resuscitation Medicine* (Williams & Wilkins, Baltimore, 1996), pp. 621–623.
26. See supporting material on Science Online.
27. D. R. Robertson, *Comp. Biochem. Physiol. A* **60**, 387 (1978).
28. F. J. Gennari, *N. Engl. J. Med.* **339**, 451 (1998).
29. We thank A. Hyatt and V. Olsen for assistance with PCR and S. Bell, J. Browne, S. Cashins, S. Garland, M. Holdsworth, C. Manicom, L. Owens, R. Puschendorf, K. Rose, E. Rosenblum, D. Rudd, A. Storfer, J. VanDerwal, B. Voyles, and J. Warner for project assistance and editing. Supported by Australian Research Council Discovery Project grant DP0452826, Australian Government Department of Environment and Heritage grant RFT 43/2004, and the Wildlife Preservation Society of Australia. Animals were collected with permission from Queensland Parks and Wildlife Service (scientific permits WISPO3866106 and WISPO4143907; movement permit WIWM04381507) and New South Wales Parks and Wildlife Service (import license IE0705693).

Supporting Online Material

www.sciencemag.org/cgi/content/full/326/5952/582/DC1
Materials and Methods

SOM Text

Figs. S1 and S2

Tables S1 and S2

References

26 May 2009; accepted 26 August 2009

10.1126/science.1176765

Detection of an Infectious Retrovirus, XMRV, in Blood Cells of Patients with Chronic Fatigue Syndrome

Vincent C. Lombardi,^{1*} Francis W. Ruscetti,^{2*} Jaydip Das Gupta,³ Max A. Pfof,¹ Kathryn S. Hagen,¹ Daniel L. Peterson,¹ Sandra K. Ruscetti,⁴ Rachel K. Bagni,⁵ Cari Petrow-Sadowski,⁶ Bert Gold,² Michael Dean,² Robert H. Silverman,³ Judy A. Mikovits^{1†}

Chronic fatigue syndrome (CFS) is a debilitating disease of unknown etiology that is estimated to affect 17 million people worldwide. Studying peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) from CFS patients, we identified DNA from a human gammaretrovirus, xenotropic murine leukemia virus–related virus (XMRV), in 68 of 101 patients (67%) as compared to 8 of 218 (3.7%) healthy controls. Cell culture experiments revealed that patient-derived XMRV is infectious and that both cell-associated and cell-free transmission of the virus are possible. Secondary viral infections were established in uninfected primary lymphocytes and indicator cell lines after their exposure to activated PBMCs, B cells, T cells, or plasma derived from CFS patients. These findings raise the possibility that XMRV may be a contributing factor in the pathogenesis of CFS.

Chronic fatigue syndrome (CFS) is a disorder of unknown etiology that affects multiple organ systems in the body. Patients with CFS display abnormalities in immune sys-

tem function, often including chronic activation of the innate immune system and a deficiency in natural killer cell activity (1, 2). A number of viruses, including ubiquitous herpesviruses and

enteroviruses, have been implicated as possible environmental triggers of CFS (1). Patients with CFS often have active β herpesvirus infections, suggesting an underlying immune deficiency.

The recent discovery of a gammaretrovirus, xenotropic murine leukemia virus–related virus (XMRV), in the tumor tissue of a subset of prostate cancer patients prompted us to test whether XMRV might be associated with CFS. Both of these disorders, XMRV-positive prostate cancer and CFS, have been linked to alterations in the antiviral enzyme RNase L (3–5). Using the Whittemore Peterson Institute's (WPI's) national

¹Whittemore Peterson Institute, Reno, NV 89557, USA.

²Laboratory of Experimental Immunology, National Cancer Institute–Frederick, Frederick, MD 21701, USA.

³Department of Cancer Biology, The Lerner Research Institute, The Cleveland Clinic Foundation, Cleveland, OH 44195, USA.

⁴Laboratory of Cancer Prevention, National Cancer Institute–Frederick, Frederick, MD 21701, USA.

⁵Advanced Technology Program, National Cancer Institute–Frederick, Frederick, MD 21701, USA.

⁶Basic Research Program, Scientific Applications International Corporation, National Cancer Institute–Frederick, Frederick, MD 21701, USA.

*These authors contributed equally to this work.

†To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: judym@wpinstitute.org

tissue repository, which contains samples from well-characterized cohorts of CFS patients, we isolated nucleic acids from PBMCs and assayed the samples for XMRV *gag* sequences by nested polymerase chain reaction (PCR) (5, 6). Of the 101 CFS samples analyzed, 68 (67%) contained XMRV *gag* sequence. Detection of XMRV was confirmed in 7 of 11 WPI CFS samples at the Cleveland Clinic by PCR-amplifying and sequencing segments of XMRV *env* [352 nucleotides (nt)] and *gag* (736 nt) in CFS PBMC DNA (Fig. 1A) (6). In contrast, XMRV *gag* sequences were detected in 8 of 218 (3.7%) PBMC DNA specimens from healthy individuals. Of the 11 healthy control DNA samples analyzed by PCR for both *env* and *gag*, only one sample was positive for *gag* and none for *env* (Fig. 1B). In all positive cases, the XMRV *gag* and *env* sequences were more than 99% similar to those previously reported for prostate tumor-associated strains of XMRV (VP62, VP35, and VP42) (fig. S1) (5).




Fig. 1. XMRV sequences in PBMC DNA from CFS patients. Single-round PCR results for *gag*, *env*, and *gapdh* sequences in PBMCs of (A) CFS patients and (B) healthy controls are shown. The positions of the amplicons are indicated and DNA markers (ladder) are shown. These are representative results from one group of 20 patients.




Fig. 2. Expression of XMRV proteins in PBMCs from CFS patients. (A) PBMCs were activated with phytohemagglutinin and interleukin-2, reacted with a mAb to MLV p30 Gag, and analyzed by IFC. (B) Lysates of activated PBMCs from CFS patients (lanes 1 to 5) were analyzed by Western blots with rat mAb to SFFV Env (top panel), goat antiserum to xenotropic MLV (middle panel), or goat antiserum to MLV p30 Gag (bottom panel). Lane 7, lysate from SFFV-infected HCD-57 cells. Molecular weight markers in kilodaltons are at left. (C) Lysates of activated PBMCs from healthy donors (lanes 1, 2, 4, 5, and 7) or from CFS patients (lanes 3 and 6) were analyzed by Western blots using rat mAb to SFFV Env (top panel) or goat antiserum to MLV p30 Gag (bottom panel). Lane 8, SFFV-infected HCD-57 cells. Molecular weight (MW) markers in kilodaltons are at left. (D) CD4⁺ T cells (left) or CD19⁺ B cells (right) were purified, activated, and examined by flow cytometry for XMRV Gag with a mAb to MLV p30 Gag.

Lysates of activated PBMCs from healthy donors (lanes 1, 2, 4, 5, and 7) or from CFS patients (lanes 3 and 6) were analyzed by Western blots using rat mAb to SFFV Env (top panel) or goat antiserum to MLV p30 Gag (bottom panel). Lane 8, SFFV-infected HCD-57 cells. Molecular weight (MW) markers in kilodaltons are at left. (D) CD4⁺ T cells (left) or CD19⁺ B cells (right) were purified, activated, and examined by flow cytometry for XMRV Gag with a mAb to MLV p30 Gag.

Sequences of full-length XMRV genomes from two CFS patients and a partial genome from a third patient were generated (table S1). CFS XMRV strains 1106 and 1178 each differed by 6 nt from

the reference prostate cancer strain XMRV VP62 (EF185282), and with the exception of 1 nt, the variant nucleotides mapped to different locations within the XMRV genome, suggesting indepen-

dent infections. In comparison, prostate cancer-derived XMRV strains VP35 and VP42 differed from VP62 by 13 and 10 nt, respectively. Thus, the complete XMRV genomes in these CFS patients





Fig. 3. Infectious XMRV in PBMCs from CFS patients. **(A)** Lysates of LNCaP cells cocultured with PBMCs from CFS patients (lanes 1, 3, and 5) or healthy donors (lanes 2 and 4) were analyzed by Western blots with rat mAb to SFFV Env (top panel) or goat antiserum to xenotropic MLV (bottom panel). Lane 6, uninfected LNCaP; lane 7,

SFFV-infected HCD-57 cells. MW markers in kilodaltons are at left. **(B)** Transmission electron micrograph of a budding viral particle from LNCaP cells infected by incubation with an activated T cell culture from a CFS patient. **(C)** Transmission electron micrograph of virus particles released by infected LNCaP cells.



Lane 8, no template control (NTC). **(C)** Normal T cells were exposed to cell-free supernatants obtained from T cells (lanes 1, 5, and 6) or B cells (lane 4) from CFS patients. Lanes 7 and 8 are secondary infections of normal activated T cells. Initially, uninfected primary T cells were exposed to supernatants from PBMCs of patients WPI-1220 (lane 7) and WPI-1221 (lane 8). Lanes 2 and 3, uninfected T cells; lane 9, SFFV-infected HCD-57 cells. Viral protein expression was detected by Western blot with a rat mAb to SFFV Env. MW markers in kilodaltons are at left. **(D)** Plasma samples from a CFS patient or from a healthy control as well as SFFV Env mAb or control were reacted with BaF3ER cells (top) or BaF3ER cells expressing recombinant SFFV Env (bottom) and analyzed by flow cytometry. IgG, immunoglobulin G.

Fig. 4. Infectious XMRV and antibodies to XMRV in CFS patient plasma. **(A)** Plasma from CFS patients (lanes 1 to 6) were incubated with LNCaP cells and lysates were prepared after six passages. Viral protein expression was detected by Western blots with rat mAb to SFFV Env (top panel) or goat antiserum to MLV p30 Gag (bottom panel). Lane 7, uninfected LNCaP; lane 8, SFFV-infected HCD-57 cells. MW markers in kilodaltons are at left. **(B)** Cell-free transmission of XMRV to the SupT1 cell line was demonstrated using transwell coculture with patient PBMCs, followed by nested *gag* PCR. Lane 1, MW marker. Lane 2, SupT1 cocultured with Raji. Lanes 3 to 7, SupT1 cocultured with CFS patient PBMCs. Lane 8, no template control (NTC). **(C)** Normal T cells were exposed to cell-free supernatants obtained from T cells (lanes 1, 5, and 6) or B cells (lane 4) from CFS patients. Lanes 7 and 8 are secondary infections of normal activated T cells. Initially, uninfected primary T cells were exposed to supernatants from PBMCs of patients WPI-1220 (lane 7) and WPI-1221 (lane 8). Lanes 2 and 3, uninfected T cells; lane 9, SFFV-infected HCD-57 cells. Viral protein expression was detected by Western blot with a rat mAb to SFFV Env. MW markers in kilodaltons are at left. **(D)** Plasma samples from a CFS patient or from a healthy control as well as SFFV Env mAb or control were reacted with BaF3ER cells (top) or BaF3ER cells expressing recombinant SFFV Env (bottom) and analyzed by flow cytometry. IgG, immunoglobulin G.

were >99% identical in sequence to those detected in patients with prostate cancer. To exclude the possibility that we were detecting a murine leukemia virus (MLV) laboratory contaminant, we determined the phylogenetic relationship among endogenous (non-ecotropic) MLV sequences, XMRV sequences, and sequences from CFS patients 1104, 1106, and 1178 (fig. S2). XMRV sequences from the CFS patients clustered with the XMRV sequences from prostate cancer cases and formed a branch distinct from non-ecotropic MLVs common in inbred mouse strains. Thus, the virus detected in the CFS patients' blood samples is unlikely to be a contaminant.

To determine whether XMRV proteins were expressed in PBMCs from CFS patients, we developed intracellular flow cytometry (IFC) and Western blot assays, using antibodies (Abs) with novel viral specificities. These antibodies included, among others, (i) rat monoclonal antibody (mAb) to the spleen focus-forming virus (SFFV) envelope (Env), which reacts with all polytropic and xenotropic MLVs (7); (ii) goat antisera to whole mouse NZB xenotropic MLV; and (iii) a rat mAb to MLV p30 Gag (8). All of these Abs detected the human VP62 XMRV strain grown in human Raji, LNCaP, and Sup-T1 cells (fig. S3) (5). IFC of activated lymphocytes (6, 9) revealed that 19 of 30 PBMC samples from CFS patients reacted with the mAb to MLV p30 Gag (Fig. 2A). The majority of the 19 positive samples also reacted with antisera to other purified MLV proteins (fig. S4A). In contrast, 16 healthy control PBMC cultures tested negative (Fig. 2A and fig. S4A). These results were confirmed by Western blots (Fig. 2, B and C) (6) using Abs to SFFV Env, mouse xenotropic MLV, and MLV p30 Gag. Samples from five healthy donors exhibited no expression of XMRV proteins (Fig. 2C). The frequencies of CFS cases versus healthy controls that were positive and negative for XMRV sequences were used to calculate a Pearson χ^2 value of 154 (two-tailed *P* value of 8.1×10^{-35}). These data yield an odds ratio of 54.1 (a 95% confidence interval of 23.8 to 122), suggesting a nonrandom association with XMRV and CFS patients.

To determine which types of lymphocytes in blood express XMRV, we isolated B and T cells from one patient's PBMCs (6). Using mAb to MLV p30 Gag and IFC, we found that both activated T and B cells were infected with XMRV (Fig. 2D and fig. S4A). Furthermore, using mAb to SFFV Env, we found that >95% of the cells in a B cell line developed from another patient were positive for XMRV Env (fig. S4B). XMRV protein expression in CFS patient-derived activated T and B cells grown for 42 days in culture was confirmed by Western blots (fig. S4C) using Abs to SFFV Env and xenotropic MLV.

We next investigated whether the viral proteins detected in PBMCs from CFS patients represent infectious XMRV. Activated lymphocytes (6) were cocultured with LNCaP, a prostate cancer cell line with defects in both the JAK-STAT and RNase L pathways (10, 11) that was previ-

ously shown to be permissive for XMRV infection (12). After coculture with activated PBMCs from CFS patients, LNCaP cells expressed XMRV Env and multiple XMRV Gag proteins when analyzed by Western blot (Fig. 3A) and IFC (fig. S5A). Transmission electron microscopy (EM) of the infected LNCaP cells (Fig. 3B), as well as virus preparations from these cells (Fig. 3C), revealed 90- to 100-nm-diameter budding particles consistent with a gamma (type C) retrovirus (13).

We also found that XMRV could be transmitted from CFS patient plasma to LNCaP cells when we applied a virus centrifugation protocol to enhance infectivity (6, 14, 15). Both XMRV gp70 Env and p30 Gag were abundantly expressed in LNCaP cells incubated with plasma samples from 10 of 12 CFS patients, whereas no viral protein expression was detected in LNCaP cells incubated with plasma samples from 12 healthy donors (Fig. 4A). Likewise, LNCaP cells incubated with patient plasma tested positive for XMRV p30 Gag in IFC assays (fig. S5B). We also observed cell-free transmission of XMRV from the PBMCs of CFS patients to the T cell line SupT1 (Fig. 4B) and both primary and secondary transmission of cell-free virus from the activated T cells of CFS patients to normal T cell cultures (Fig. 4C). Together, these results suggest that both cell-associated and cell-free transmission of CFS-associated XMRV are possible.

We next investigated whether XMRV stimulates an immune response in CFS patients. For this purpose, we developed a flow cytometry assay that allowed us to detect Abs to XMRV Env by exploiting its close homology to SFFV Env (16). Plasma from 9 out of 18 CFS patients infected with XMRV reacted with a mouse B cell line expressing recombinant SFFV Env (BaF3ER-SFFV-Env) but not to SFFV Env negative control cells (BaF3ER), analogous to the binding of the SFFV Env mAb to these cells (Fig. 4D and S6A). In contrast, plasma from seven healthy donors did not react (Fig. 4D and fig. S6A). Furthermore, all nine positive plasma samples from CFS patients but none of the plasma samples from healthy donors blocked the binding of the SFFV Env mAb to SFFV Env on the cell surface (fig. S6B). These results are consistent with the hypothesis that CFS patients mount a specific immune response to XMRV.

Neurological maladies and immune dysfunction with inflammatory cytokine and chemokine up-regulation are some of the most commonly reported features associated with CFS. Several retroviruses, including the MLVs and the primate retroviruses HIV and HTLV-1, are associated with neurological diseases as well as cancer (17). Studies of retrovirus-induced neurodegeneration in rodent models have indicated that vascular and inflammatory changes mediated by cytokines and chemokines precede the neurological pathology (18, 19). The presence of infectious XMRV in lymphocytes may account for some of these observations of altered immune responsiveness and neurological function in CFS patients.

We have discovered a highly significant association between the XMRV retrovirus and CFS. This observation raises several important questions. Is XMRV infection a causal factor in the pathogenesis of CFS or a passenger virus in the immunosuppressed CFS patient population? What is the relationship between XMRV infection status and the presence or absence of other viruses that are often associated with CFS (e.g., herpesviruses)? Conceivably these viruses could be cofactors in pathogenesis, as is the case for HIV-mediated disease, in which co-infecting pathogens play an important role (20). Patients with CFS have an elevated incidence of cancer (21). Does XMRV infection alter the risk of cancer development in CFS? As noted above, XMRV has been detected in prostate tumors from patients expressing a specific genetic variant of the *RNASEL* gene (5). In contrast, in our study of this CFS cohort, we found that XMRV infection status does not correlate with the *RNASEL* genotype (6) (table S2).

Finally, it is worth noting that 3.7% of the healthy donors in our study tested positive for XMRV sequences. This suggests that several million Americans may be infected with a retrovirus of as yet unknown pathogenic potential.

References and Notes

1. L. D. Devanur, J. R. Kerr, *J. Clin. Virol.* **37**, 139 (2006).
2. T. L. Whiteside, D. Friberg, *Am. J. Med.* **105**, 275 (1998).
3. R. J. Suhadolnik *et al.*, *J. Interferon Cytokine Res.* **17**, 377 (1997).
4. G. Casey *et al.*, *Nat. Genet.* **32**, 581 (2002).
5. A. Urisman *et al.*, *PLoS Pathog.* **2**, e25 (2006).
6. Materials and methods are available as supporting material on Science Online.
7. R. Wolff, S. Koller, J. Ruscetti, *Virology* **43**, 472 (1982).
8. B. Chesebro *et al.*, *Virology* **127**, 134 (1983).
9. K. A. Smith, F. W. Ruscetti, *Adv. Immunol.* **31**, 137 (1981).
10. G. Dunn, K. Sheehan, L. Old, R. Schreiber, *Cancer Res.* **65**, 3447 (2005).
11. Y. Xiang *et al.*, *Cancer Res.* **63**, 6795 (2003).
12. B. Dong *et al.*, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **104**, 1655 (2007).
13. B. J. Poiesz *et al.*, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **77**, 7415 (1980).
14. G. R. Pietroboni, G. B. Harnett, M. R. Bucens, *J. Virol. Methods* **24**, 85 (1989).
15. S. M. Yoo *et al.*, *J. Virol. Methods* **154**, 160 (2008).
16. L. Wolff, E. Scolnick, S. Ruscetti, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **80**, 4718 (1983).
17. C. Power, *Trends Neurosci.* **24**, 162 (2001).
18. X. Li, C. Hanson, J. Cmarik, S. Ruscetti, *J. Virol.* **83**, 4912 (2009).
19. K. E. Peterson, B. Chesebro, *Curr. Top. Microbiol. Immunol.* **303**, 67 (2006).
20. A. Lisco, C. Vanpouille, L. Margolis, *Curr. HIV/AIDS Rep.* **6**, 5 (2009).
21. P. H. Levine *et al.*, *Cancer Res.* **52**, 5516s (1992).
22. We thank D. Bertolette, Y. Huang, C. Hanson, and J. Troxler for technical assistance; K. Nagashima for EM; and C. Ware and K. Hunter for discussions. Funded by the Whittemore Peterson Institute and the Whittemore Family Foundation; the National Cancer Institute (NCI); NIH (under contract HHSN26120080001E); and grants to R.H.S. from NCI/NIH (CA104943), the U.S. DoD Prostate Cancer Research Program (W81XWH-07-1338), the V Foundation for Cancer Research, the Charlotte Geyer Foundation, and Mal and Lea Bank. The content of this publication does not reflect the views or policies of the U.S. DHHS, nor does mention of trade names, commercial products, or organizations imply endorsement by the U.S. government.

R.H.S. may receive royalty payments in the future from Abbott Laboratories. GenBank accession numbers are as follows: WPI-1130, GQ483508; WPI-1138, GQ483509; WPI-1169, GQ483510; WPI-1178, GQ497343; WPI-1106, GQ497344; and WPI-1104, GQ497345.

Note added in proof: V.C.L. is operations manager of Viral Immune Pathologies Laboratory, which is in negotiations

with the Whittemore Peterson Institute to offer a diagnostic test for XMRV.

Supporting Online Material

www.sciencemag.org/cgi/content/full/1179052/DC1
Materials and Methods
Figs. S1 to S6

Tables S1 and S2
References

7 May 2009; accepted 31 August 2009
Published online 8 October 2009;
10.1126/science.1179052
Include this information when citing this paper.

Complete Reconstitution of a Highly Reducing Iterative Polyketide Synthase

Suzanne M. Ma,¹ Jesse W.-H. Li,² Jin W. Choi,³ Hui Zhou,¹ K. K. Michael Lee,³ Vijayalakshmi A. Moorthie,² Xinkai Xie,¹ James T. Kealey,⁴ Nancy A. Da Silva,³ John C. Vederas,^{2*} Yi Tang^{1*}

Highly reducing iterative polyketide synthases are large, multifunctional enzymes that make important metabolites in fungi, such as lovastatin, a cholesterol-lowering drug from *Aspergillus terreus*. We report efficient expression of the lovastatin nonaketide synthase (LovB) from an engineered strain of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, as well as complete reconstitution of its catalytic function in the presence and absence of cofactors (the reduced form of nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate and *S*-adenosylmethionine) and its partner enzyme, the enoyl reductase LovC. Our results demonstrate that LovB retains correct intermediates until completion of synthesis of dihydromonacolin L, but off-loads incorrectly processed compounds as pyrones or hydrolytic products. Experiments replacing LovC with analogous MlcG from compactin biosynthesis demonstrate a gate-keeping function for this partner enzyme. This study represents a key step in the understanding of the functions and structures of this family of enzymes.

Nature uses an amazing array of enzymes to make natural products (1). Among these metabolites, polyketides represent a class of over 7000 known structures of which more than 20 are commercial drugs (2). Among the most interesting but least understood enzymes making these compounds are the highly reducing iterative polyketide synthases (HR-IPKSs) found in filamentous fungi (3). In contrast to the well-studied bacterial type I PKSs that operate in an assembly line fashion (4), HR-IPKSs are megasynthases that function iteratively by using a set of catalytic domains repeatedly in different combinations to produce structurally diverse fungal metabolites (5). One such metabolite is lovastatin, a cholesterol-lowering drug from *Aspergillus terreus* (6). This compound is a precursor to simvastatin (Zocor, Merck, Whitehouse Station, NJ), a semi-synthetic drug that had annual sales of more than \$4 billion before loss of patent protection in 2006 (7).

Biosynthesis of lovastatin proceeds via dihydromonacolin L (acid form 1, lactone form 2), a product made by the HR-IPKS lovastatin nonaketide synthase (LovB), with the assistance of a separate enoyl reductase, LovC (8) (Fig. 1). LovB is a 335-kD protein that contains single copies of

ketosynthase (KS), malonyl-coenzyme A (CoA) acyltransferase (MAT), dehydratase (DH), methyltransferase (MT), ketoreductase (KR), and acyl-carrier protein (ACP) domains, as well as a section that is homologous to the condensation (CON) domain found in nonribosomal peptide synthetases (NRPSs) (9). It also contains a domain that resembles an enoyl reductase (ER) but lacks that activity. LovB must catalyze ~35 reactions and use different permutations of tailoring domains after each of the eight chain-extension steps to yield the nonaketide, dihydromonacolin L (2). This enzyme also catalyzes a biological Diels-Alder reaction during the assembly process to form the decalin ring system (10). In vitro studies of LovB (11) have been hampered by an inability to obtain sufficient amounts of the functional purified megasynthase from either *A. terreus* or heterologous *Aspergillus* hosts. As a result, the programming that governs metabolite assembly by LovB or other HR-IPKSs is not understood. Key aspects that remain to be elucidated include (i) the catalytic and structural roles of each domain in the megasynthase, (ii) substrate specificities of the catalytic domains and their tolerance to perturbation in megasynthase functions, and (iii) factors governing the choice of different combinations of domains during each iteration of catalysis. To initiate such studies, we engineered an expression system in yeast to produce large amounts of LovB and examined the influence of cofactors and the ER partner (e.g., LovC) on product formation.

The engineered *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* strain BJ5464-NpgA, which contains a chromo-

somal copy of the *Aspergillus nidulans* phosphopantetheinyl (ppant) transferase gene *npgA* (12), was the expression host. A C-terminal hexahistidine-tagged LovB was placed under the control of the *S. cerevisiae* *ADH2* promoter (13, 14) on an episomal plasmid (YE_pLovB-6His). Abundant amounts of the intact LovB could be purified from the soluble fraction to near homogeneity with a final yield of ~4.5 mg/L (fig. S1). We used mass analysis of tryptic digest fragments to verify the identity of the recombinant LovB. The ACP domain of LovB was determined to be nearly completely phosphopantetheinylated by using a ppant ejection assay with high-resolution quadrupole orthogonal acceleration-time-of-flight mass spectrometry (fig. S2). To ascertain activity of the resulting LovB and to examine the necessity for cofactors, malonyl-CoA alone was first added to the purified enzyme in buffer. Whole-cell feeding studies of doubly [¹³C, ²H]-labeled acetate to cultures of *A. terreus* showed that all three acetate hydrogens were incorporated into the acetate-derived starter units for both the nonaketide and diketide moieties in lovastatin (15). The purified LovB can use malonyl-CoA for both chain priming and chain elongation, loading malonate with decarboxylation to make the acetyl starter unit. Although LovB is able to prime with and elongate the chain by two further condensations with malonyl-CoA, in the absence of the reduced form of nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate (NADPH), no ketoreduction occurs. The dominant product is lactone 3 (Fig. 2A, trace i), which forms by enolization and cyclization with off-loading of the unreduced triketide. Addition of NADPH to this system enables function of the KR domain. In this and subsequent experiments, the malonyl-CoA could be conveniently synthesized in situ by malonyl-CoA synthase (MatB) from *Rhizobium trifolii* using free malonate and CoA (16). With KR enabled, LovB makes penta-, hexa-, and heptaketide pyrones 4 to 6, as well as ketones 7 and 8 (Fig. 2A, trace ii). The structures were confirmed by chemical synthesis of authentic standards, except for heptaketide 6, which proved very unstable. However, the mass increase of 26 atomic mass units for 6 and its red shift in the ultraviolet spectrum when compared to 5 are consistent with its proposed heptaketide pyrone structure (table S3). Compounds 7 and 8 result from thioester hydrolysis of penta- and hexaketides stalling on the ACP at the β-keto stage. The resulting β-keto acids spontaneously decarboxylate to afford 7 and 8. Formation of compounds 4 to 8 illustrates that derailment in the normal programmed steps, namely the lack of methylation due to the absence of *S*-adenosylmethionine

¹Department of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering, University of California, Los Angeles, CA 90095, USA.

²Department of Chemistry, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta, T6G 2G2, Canada. ³Department of Chemical Engineering and Materials Science, University of California, Irvine, CA 92697, USA. ⁴Amryis Biotechnologies, 5885 Hollis Street, Suite 100, Emeryville, CA 94608, USA.

*To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: john.vederas@ualberta.ca (J.C.V.); yitang@ucla.edu (Y.T.)

Failure to Detect the Novel Retrovirus XMRV in Chronic Fatigue Syndrome

Otto Erlwein¹, Steve Kaye¹, Myra O. McClure^{1*}, Jonathan Weber¹, Gillian Wills¹, David Collier², Simon Wessely³, Anthony Cleare³

1 Jefferiss Research Trust Laboratories, Section of Infectious Diseases, Wright-Fleming Institute, Faculty of Medicine, Imperial College London, St Mary's Campus, Norfolk Place, London, United Kingdom, **2** Social Genetic and Developmental Psychiatry Centre, Institute of Psychiatry (King's College London) De Crespigny Park, Denmark Hill, London, United Kingdom, **3** Department of Psychological Medicine, Institute of Psychiatry, King's College London, Camberwell, London, United Kingdom

Abstract

Background: In October 2009 it was reported that 68 of 101 patients with chronic fatigue syndrome (CFS) in the US were infected with a novel gamma retrovirus, xenotropic murine leukaemia virus-related virus (XMRV), a virus previously linked to prostate cancer. This finding, if confirmed, would have a profound effect on the understanding and treatment of an incapacitating disease affecting millions worldwide. We have investigated CFS sufferers in the UK to determine if they are carriers of XMRV.

Methodology: Patients in our CFS cohort had undergone medical screening to exclude detectable organic illness and met the CDC criteria for CFS. DNA extracted from blood samples of 186 CFS patients were screened for XMRV provirus and for the closely related murine leukaemia virus by nested PCR using specific oligonucleotide primers. To control for the integrity of the DNA, the cellular beta-globin gene was amplified. Negative controls (water) and a positive control (XMRV infectious molecular clone DNA) were included. While the beta-globin gene was amplified in all 186 samples, neither XMRV nor MLV sequences were detected.

Conclusion: XMRV or MLV sequences were not amplified from DNA originating from CFS patients in the UK. Although we found no evidence that XMRV is associated with CFS in the UK, this may be a result of population differences between North America and Europe regarding the general prevalence of XMRV infection, and might also explain the fact that two US groups found XMRV in prostate cancer tissue, while two European studies did not.

Citation: Erlwein O, Kaye S, McClure MO, Weber J, Wills G, et al. (2010) Failure to Detect the Novel Retrovirus XMRV in Chronic Fatigue Syndrome. PLoS ONE 5(1): e8519. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0008519

Editor: Douglas F. Nixon, University of California San Francisco, United States of America

Received: December 1, 2009; **Accepted:** December 4, 2009; **Published:** January 6, 2010

Copyright: © 2010 Erlwein et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Funding: AC, DC and SW are partially funded by the South London and Maudsley NHS Foundation Trust/Institute of Psychiatry National Institute of Health Biomedical Research Centre. The team from Imperial College is grateful for support from the NIHR Biomedical Research Centre Funding Scheme. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

* E-mail: m.mcclure@imperial.ac.uk

Introduction

A recent study by Lombardi *et al.* [1] describing a gamma-retrovirus infection in 68 of 101 chronic fatigue syndrome (CFS) patients was notable not only for its claim of a new viral aetiology of a hitherto controversial disease, but also for the fact that proviral DNA could be amplified from the peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC) of 3.75% (8/218) of the healthy controls. This follows an earlier claim that 1.7% (5/300) of healthy Japanese blood donors carried antibodies to the same virus [2]. The virus in question is a recently discovered retrovirus, Xenotropic Murine Leukaemia Virus (MLV)-Related Virus (XMRV).

In the original identification of XMRV in prostate cancer stromal cells, Urisman *et al.* [3] confirmed by sequence analysis that XMRV is not a laboratory contaminant, as is often the case with claims of new retroviral associations with disease. It shares >90% sequence identity in *gag* and *env* (two of the three viral structural genes) with other xenotropic MLVs.

An association between XMRV and prostate cancer was strengthened with the demonstration of XMRV protein expression in malignant epithelial cells [4]. However, these results have

not been duplicated in studies conducted in Europe [5–7]. Both prostate cancer and CFS have been linked to an Arg to Gln mutation at codon 462 (R462Q) in the RNaseL gene, an interferon-induced ribonuclease [8]. On activation, RNaseL destroys single stranded cellular and viral RNA, thereby preventing viral replication, blocking protein synthesis, triggering cellular apoptosis and providing an innate anti-viral response. The two US studies are of interest, not only because this would be a further example of a virus association with cancer, but because they represent the first demonstration of a gamma-retrovirus able to infect human cells, over-riding the intrinsic immune mechanisms that were believed to protect humans from MLV infection.

The XMRV sequences derived from prostate cancer tissue are identical to those from CFS patients, but differ from xenotropic MLV sequences, endorsing a genuine cross-species transmission. However, the claim that XMRV is preferentially found in prostate tumours from patients homozygous for the R462Q variant [3] is not borne out by the second prostate cancer study to find XMRV in patients [4], nor was the genetic variant detected in CFS patients carrying XMRV [5].

The finding of Lombardi *et al.* of a 67% XMRV infection rate among CFS patients, if confirmed, would have a serious impact on understanding the pathogenesis of this complex and debilitating disease and its treatment. Therefore, it was important to determine if CFS sufferers in the UK were carriers of XMRV. We have screened DNA extracts from the blood of CFS sufferers by PCRs targeted at an XMRV-specific sequence and at a sequence conserved amongst most murine retroviruses (MRV).

Methods

Patients

All patients gave written informed consent for the use of their DNA to test aetiological theories of CFS, and the study was approved by the South London and Maudsley NHS Trust Ethics Committee. The study recruited 186 patients (62% female, age range 19–70, mean 39.6 ± 11.3 years) from consecutive referrals to the CFS clinic at King's College Hospital, London. All patients had undergone medical screening to exclude detectable organic illness, including a minimum of physical examination, urinalysis, full blood count, urea and electrolytes, thyroid function tests, liver function tests, 9 a.m. cortisol and ESR. Patients were interviewed using a semi-structured interview for CFS [9] to determine whether they met international consensus criteria for CFS. All subjects met the CDC criteria [10]; patients with the Fukuda-specified exclusionary psychiatric disorders, or somatisation disorder (as per DSM-IV), were not included. The patient set studied is a well-characterised and representative sample of CFS patients who have been described previously: all were routine clinic attendees, referred within the UK National Health Service, who had taken part in prior studies of neuroendocrine functioning [11] and/or of cognitive behaviour therapy [12]. As is typical of the patients seen in this tertiary care centre, they were markedly unwell. Few were working, and 19% were members of patient support groups for CFS/ME [12–14]. The levels of fatigue in this sample were high (mean Chalder Fatigue Scale, 26.3 ± 5.4) [15], as were levels of disability (mean Work and Social Adjustment Scale, total score 28.2 ± 7.2) [16]. The mean GHQ-12 score [17] was 19.7 ± 8.1 . Patients had been unwell for a median of 4.0 y (range 1–28 y). Of note was that 45% said their illness definitely related to a viral illness and 45% said it might relate to a viral illness. Overall, we conclude that this sample is typical of CFS patients seen in specialist clinical services in the UK. We also know from collaborative studies that our patients resemble those seen in other specialist CFS services in the United States and Australia [18].

PCR detection of XMRV and MLV sequences. DNA was extracted from EDTA whole blood using a standard phenol-based organic deproteinisation procedure [19]. DNA concentrations were determined by absorbance at 260 nm (A_{260}). Each sample was amplified in three nested PCRs using primers targeted to an XMRV-specific sequence, to a sequence conserved amongst most MLV and, as a control for sample addition and PCR-inhibition, to a human beta-globin (hBG) sequence (Table 1). Each first-round reaction was performed in a 25 μ l volume containing 0.5 units TaqGold (Applied Biosystems, Warrington, UK), 1 \times TaqGold reaction buffer (Applied Biosystems), 1.5 mM Mg^{2+} , 200 mM each dNTP, 2.5 pmol each primer to which 5 μ l DNA extract or control was added. Reaction conditions were one cycle of 94°C, 8 minutes, 35 cycles of 94°C 30 seconds, 55°C 30 seconds, 72°C 30 seconds and one cycle of 72°C, 7 minutes. Second round reaction mixes were identical to the first round and the sample was a 1 μ l transfer from the first round reactions. Second round reaction conditions were as for the first round over 30 cycles. PCR amplicons were visualised on a 1% agarose gel stained with

Table 1. Oligonucleotide Primers.

Target	Sequence	Location
XMRV	Forward outer 5' CATTCTGTATCAGTTAACCTAC 3'	411–432 ¹
	Reverse outer 5' ATGATCTCGAGAACAACCTAAAG 3'	606–588 ¹
	Forward inner 5' GACTTTTTGGAGTGGCTTTGT 3'	441–461 ¹
	Reverse inner 5' ACAGAAGAACAACAAACAAATC 3'	566–544 ¹
MLV	Forward outer 5' GGATCAAGCCCCACATACAG 3'	2796–2847 ¹
	Reverse outer 5' CATCAACAGGGTGGGACTG 3'	3179–3160 ¹
	Forward inner 5' AGAAGTCAACAAGCGGGTGG 3'	2926–2945 ¹
	Reverse inner 5' GGTGGAGTCTCAGGAGAAA 3'	3062–3043 ¹
hBG	Forward outer 5' TGGTGGTCTACCTCTGGACC 3'	148–162 ²
	Reverse outer 5' GAGGTTGTCCAGGTGAGCCA 3'	296–277 ²
	Forward inner 5' GAGGTTCTTTGAGTCTTTGG 3'	170–190 ²
	Reverse inner 5' CATCACTAAAGGCCAGGAGCA 3'	273–253 ²

Locations in GenBank accessions ¹EF185282, ²NM000518.4. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0008519.t001

ethidium bromide. Each PCR run consisted of test samples, six negative (water) and two positive controls. The positive control was a dilution of a plasmid with a full-length XMRV (isolate VP62) insert, generously gifted by Dr R. Silverman. To validate the sensitivity of the PCR, an end-point dilution of the plasmid was performed. To determine specificity of the PCR, a sample of human DNA from the LNCaP prostate cancer cell line (American Type Culture Collection, code CRL-1740) was amplified with the XMRV and MLV primer sets. To ensure integrity of the DNA extracts, three randomly selected samples were titrated to end-point using the hBG PCR to determine if the PCR copy number equated with the A_{260} . To determine if the DNA extracts exhibited low level non-specific inhibition of PCR, 10 samples were subjected to 30 cycles of the first round hBG PCR (reaction mix and conditions as above) followed by 40 cycles of a nested real-time SYBR-green PCR using the SYBR-green Fast PCR kit (Roche, Lewes UK) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

Results

Nested PCR Validation

Based on A_{260} of the purified plasmid, both primer sets (XMRV, MLV) were able to amplify a single target copy added to the reaction. Amplification of 600 ng of LNCaP cellular DNA added to XMRV and MLV PCRs yielded no non-specific bands when viewed on an ethidium bromide-stained agarose gel. Quantification of DNA samples from three randomly selected test samples by end-point dilution PCR with the hBG primer set showed concurrence of the PCR-determined copy number with A_{260} , thus indicating integrity of the DNA preparations. Nested real-time amplification of 10 samples showed no evidence of non-specific inhibition as determined by the slope of the amplification curves and the height of the signal plateau.

PCR Analysis of Test Samples

Input DNA ranged from 10 to 600 ng (1.6×10^3 to 1.1×10^5 cell equivalents) as determined by A_{260} of which 149 samples had an input of >100 ng and 106 samples >200 ng. None of the 186 test samples analysed yielded a specific PCR product with either the XMRV or MLV primer sets and no non-specific PCR products were observed. A specific hBG product was amplified from all 186 test samples. The positive control was amplified in each run by the



Figure 1. PCR products of the XMRV VP62 clone. Primers are generic to MLV (lanes 1 and 2) or specific to XMRV (lanes 4 and 5). The sizes of the respective fragments are shown. Lane 3–200 bp molecular size ladder.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0008519.g001

XMRV and MLV primer sets. A stained gel of the XMRV and MLV PCR products is shown in figure 1 and a representative sample of our results with CFS DNA and MLV primers is shown in figure 2.

Discussion

Unlike the study of Lombardi *et al.*, we have failed to detect XMRV or closely related MRV proviral DNA sequences in any sample from CFS cases. There have been numerous claims for an infective aetiology to CFS over the years, not least because, as in this sample, many patients report that their symptoms were triggered by an infective episode. Prospective epidemiological studies have confirmed that certain infective agents, for example Epstein Barr virus, are unequivocally associated with subsequent CFS [20], even if the mechanisms are unclear and almost certainly multi factorial. Nearly two decades ago, sequences from another retrovirus, the human T-lymphotropic virus type II, were amplified from the PBMCs of 10/12 (83%) adult and 13/18 paediatric CFS patients, but not from healthy control subjects [21]. However, subsequent studies carried out on small numbers (20–30) of CFS patients, failed to confirm evidence for HTLV (type 1 or 11) [22–25] or other retroviruses, including the closely-related simian T lymphotropic virus type I, the prototype foamy virus, simian retrovirus, bovine and feline leukaemia viruses [26] and HIV-1 [23].

The Lombardi paper is the first to study a significantly larger number of people than that in any previous study and to detect a virus only recently discovered. Our study resembles that of Lombardi *et al.* in certain respects. Both studies use the widely accepted 1994 clinical case definition of CFS¹⁰. Lombardi *et al.* reported that their cases “presented with severe disability” and we provide quantifiable evidence confirming high levels of disability in our subjects. Our subjects were also typical of those seen in secondary and tertiary care in other centres.

References

- Lombardi V, Ruscetti FW, Gupta JD, Pfost MA, Hagen KS, et al. (2009) Detection of an infectious retrovirus, XMRV, in blood cells of patients with chronic fatigue syndrome. *Science* 326: 585–589.
- Furuta RA, Miyazawa T, Sugiyama T, Kimura T, Hirayama F, et al. (2009) The Prevalence of Xenotropic Murine Leukemia Virus-related Virus in Healthy Blood Donors in Japan. *Cold Spring Harbor Retrovirus Symposium*.
- Urisman A, Molinaro RJ, Fischer N, Plummer SJ, Casey G, et al. (2006) Identification of a novel gammaretrovirus in prostate tumors of patients homozygous for R462Q RNaseL variant. *PLoS Pathog* 2: 211–225.
- Schlaberg R, Choe DJ, Brown KR, Thaker HM, Singh IR (2009) XMRV is present in malignant prostatic epithelium and is associated with prostate cancer, especially high-grade tumours. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 106: 16351–6.
- Hohn O, Krause H, Barbarotto P, Niederstadt L, Beimforde N, et al. (2009) Lack of evidence for xenotropic murine leukemia virus-related virus (XMRV) in German prostate cancer patients. *Retrovirology* 6: 92.
- D’Arcy FR, Foley A, Perry L, Marignol L, Lawler M, et al. (2008) No evidence of XMRV in Irish prostate cancer patients with the R462Q mutations. *European Urology* 7 Suppl: 271.
- Fischer N, Hellwinkel O, Schulz C, Chun FK, Huland H, et al. (2008) Prevalence of human gamma retrovirus XMRV in sporadic prostate cancer. *J Clin Virol* 43: 277–283.
- Silverman RH (2007) A scientific journey through the 2-5A/RNaseL system. *Cytokine Growth Factor Rev* 18: 381–388.
- Sharpe M, Chalder T, Palmer I, Wessely S (1997) Chronic fatigue syndrome. A practical guide to assessment and management. *General Hospital Psychiatry* 19: 185–99.
- Fukuda K, Straus S, Hickie I, Sharpe MC, Dobbins JG, et al. (1994) The chronic fatigue syndrome: a comprehensive approach to its definition and study. *Annals of Internal Medicine* 121: 953–959.
- Roberts AD, Charler M, Papadopoulos AS, Wessely S, Chalder T, et al. (2009) Does hypocortisolism predict a poor response to cognitive behavioural therapy in chronic fatigue syndrome? *Psychological Medicine*. In press.
- Quarmany L, Rimes KA, Deale A, Wessely S, Chalder T (2007) Cognitive-behaviour therapy for chronic fatigue syndrome: comparison of outcomes within and outside the confines of a randomised controlled trial. *Behaviour Research & Therapy* 45: 1085–94.




Figure 2. Nested PCR from the DNA of 8 CFS patients. Products of generic MLV primers (including XMRV) are shown. Lanes 1–8, CFS patient DNA (2nd round); lanes 9 and 10, XMRV 2nd round and 1st round positive controls; lanes 11 and 12, DNA of uninfected cell line LNCaP; lanes 13–18, water controls.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0008519.g002

Our own study also differs from that of Lombardi in other respects. Firstly, the PCR operator was blinded to the provenance of the DNA samples. In fact, with the exception of the PCR controls, all 186 DNA test samples originated from CFS patients. Care was taken to grow the XMRV plasmid in a laboratory in which no MLV had been cultured and no MLV vectors used and the PCR was carried out in a CPA-accredited Molecular Diagnostics Unit which processes only human tissue. Multiple (six) water (negative) controls were included in every run to detect low level contamination and a PCR to amplify a sequence that is conserved in most murine leukaemia viruses was included in order to expose any circulating MLV contamination and to detect any variant of XMRV that might be circulating in the UK CFS population.

Based on our molecular data, we do not share the conviction that XMRV may be a contributory factor in the pathogenesis of CFS, at least in the U.K.

Acknowledgments

The assistance of Sarah Bull in data collection and processing is gratefully acknowledged.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: SK MM. Performed the experiments: OWE SK. Analyzed the data: SK MM. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: SK GW DC SW AC. Wrote the paper: SK MM. Facilitated the study by setting up the collaboration: JW. Responsible for providing samples and associated data from a well characterised and valuable cohort of subjects: SW.

13. Euba R, Chalder T, Deale A, Wessely S (1996) A comparison of the characteristics of chronic fatigue syndrome in primary and tertiary care. *British Journal of Psychiatry* 168: 121–6.
14. Deale A, Chalder T, Marks I, Wessely S (1997) A randomised controlled trial of cognitive behaviour versus relaxation therapy for chronic fatigue syndrome. *American Journal of Psychiatry* 154: 408–14.
15. Chalder T, Berelowitz G, Pawlikowska T, Watts L, Wessely S, et al. (1993) Development of a fatigue scale. *Journal of Psychosomatic Research* 37: 147–153.
16. Mundt JC, Marks IM, Shear K, Griest JH (2002) The work and social adjustment scale: a simple measure of impairment in functioning. *British Journal of Psychiatry* 180: 461–464.
17. Goldberg DP, Blackwell B (1970) Psychiatric illness in general practice. A detailed study using a new method of case identification. *British Medical Journal* 1: 439–443.
18. Wilson AHI, Hadzi-Pavlovic D, Wakefield D, Parker G, et al. (2001) What is chronic fatigue syndrome? Heterogeneity within an international multicentre study. *Australian and New Zealand Journal of Psychiatry* 35: 520–527.
19. Freeman B, Smith N, Curtis C, Huckett L, Mill J, et al. (2003) DNA from buccal swabs recruited by mail: evaluation of storage effects on long-term stability and suitability for multiplex polymerase chain reaction genotyping. *Behav Genet* 33: 67–72.
20. White P, Thomas J, Kangro HO, Bruce-Jones WD, Amess J, et al. (2001) Predictions and associations of fatigue syndromes and mood disorders that occur after infectious mononucleosis. *Lancet* 358: 1946–1954.
21. De Freitas E, Hilliard B, Cheney PR, Bell DS, Kiggundu E, et al. (1991) Retroviral sequences related to human T-lymphotropic virus type II in patients with chronic fatigue immune dysfunction syndrome. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 88: 2922–2926.
22. Gow J, Simpson K, Schliephake A, Behan WM, Morrison LJ, et al. (1992) Search for retrovirus in the chronic fatigue syndrome. *J Clin pathol* 45: 1058–1061.
23. Honda M, Kitamura K, Nakasone T, Fukushima Y, Matsuda S, et al. (1993) Japanese patients with chronic fatigue syndrome are negative for known retrovirus infections. *Microbiol. Immunol* 37: 779–784.
24. Folks TM, Heneine W, Khan A, Woods T, Chapman L, et al. (1993) Investigation of retroviral involvement in chronic fatigue syndrome. *Ciba Found Symp* 173: 160–166.
25. Khan AS, Heneine WM, Chapman LE, Gary HE Jr, Woods TC, et al. (1993) Assessment of retrovirus sequence and other possible risk factors for the chronic fatigue syndrome in adults. *Annals of Internal Med* 118: 241–245.
26. Heneine W, Woods TC, Sinha SD, Khan AS, Chapman LE, et al. (1994) Lack of evidence for infection with known human and animal retroviruses in patients with chronic fatigue syndrome. *Clin Infect Dis suppl* 1: s121–125.

RESEARCH

Open Access

Absence of xenotropic murine leukaemia virus-related virus in UK patients with chronic fatigue syndrome

Harriet CT Groom¹, Virginie C Boucherit¹, Kerry Makinson², Edward Randal², Sarah Baptista², Suzanne Hagan³, John W Gow³, Frank M Mattes⁴, Judith Breuer⁵, Jonathan R Kerr², Jonathan P Stoye¹, Kate N Bishop^{1*}

Abstract

Background: Detection of a retrovirus, xenotropic murine leukaemia virus-related virus (XMRV), has recently been reported in 67% of patients with chronic fatigue syndrome. We have studied a total of 170 samples from chronic fatigue syndrome patients from two UK cohorts and 395 controls for evidence of XMRV infection by looking either for the presence of viral nucleic acids using quantitative PCR (limit of detection <16 viral copies) or for the presence of serological responses using a virus neutralisation assay.

Results: We have not identified XMRV DNA in any samples by PCR (0/299). Some serum samples showed XMRV neutralising activity (26/565) but only one of these positive sera came from a CFS patient. Most of the positive sera were also able to neutralise MLV particles pseudotyped with envelope proteins from other viruses, including vesicular stomatitis virus, indicating significant cross-reactivity in serological responses. Four positive samples were specific for XMRV.

Conclusions: No association between XMRV infection and CFS was observed in the samples tested, either by PCR or serological methodologies. The non-specific neutralisation observed in multiple serum samples suggests that it is unlikely that these responses were elicited by XMRV and highlights the danger of over-estimating XMRV frequency based on serological assays. In spite of this, we believe that the detection of neutralising activity that did not inhibit VSV-G pseudotyped MLV in at least four human serum samples indicates that XMRV infection may occur in the general population, although with currently uncertain outcomes.

Background

In 2006, pursuing a link between prostate cancer and an inherited mutation in the RNASEL gene, Urisman and colleagues identified a novel gammaretrovirus [1]. Using PCR methodology, this virus was shown to be present in 9/86 (10%) prostate tumours examined. It showed close sequence similarity to xenotropic murine endogenous retrovirus elements and was thus named **xenotropic murine leukaemia virus related virus (XMRV)**. A subsequent study demonstrated receptor usage typical of murine xenotropic virus [2]. Phylogenetic analyses place XMRV firmly within the murine endogenous retroviruses [3] even though no identical element has so far

been identified within the mouse genome [4]. More recently, additional groups of samples from patients with prostate cancer have been examined for the presence of XMRV with both positive [5] and negative [6,7] results.

Very recently, a paper reporting the PCR detection of XMRV in PBMC from 68/101 patients with chronic fatigue syndrome (CFS) has been published [8]. Replicating virus could be isolated from stimulated PBMC with sequences almost, but not quite identical to the viruses isolated from prostate cancer patients. Providing apparently compelling evidence against the possibility of laboratory contamination, a number of the patients were shown to have mounted an immune response against XMRV. Interestingly, around 4% of control patients appeared to harbour the virus [8].

* Correspondence: kbishop@nimr.mrc.ac.uk

¹Division of Virology, MRC National Institute for Medical Research, The Ridgeway, Mill Hill, London NW7 1AA, UK

Replication of these results and the possible identification of roles for XMRV in the aetiology of prostate cancer and/or CFS would be of great medical significance. Detection of XMRV might provide potentially useful diagnostic tools and might also suggest therapeutic avenues for treatment. Further, widespread distribution of a potentially pathogenic virus would have important implications concerning its role as a co-factor in other conditions and in the safety of the blood supply. We therefore set out to investigate the distribution of XMRV in UK CFS patients, using PCR to search for the presence of XMRV DNA and neutralisation assays to detect an anti-XMRV immune response. In this study we did not find any association between XMRV infection and CFS.

Methods

Sample collection

Samples from the following three centres were tested; St George's University of London (SGUL), Barts and the London Hospital Trust (BLT) and Glasgow Caledonian University (GC).

The SGUL cohort comprised 142 adult CFS patients and 157 healthy blood donors. Both groups were aged between 18 and 65, and the male to female ratios were 45:97 (CFS) and 43:114 (blood donors). At the time of sampling, 2003-2008, blood was collected into three tubes (an EDTA blood tube for DNA preparation; a Paxgene tube for RNA preparation and a plain tube for serum preparation from clotted blood). CFS patients were recruited from clinics in Bristol, Dorset, London, Birmingham, Norfolk and Epsom, and all patients fulfilled diagnostic criteria of Fukuda *et al.* [9]. Blood samples were taken between 1.5 and 4 years following diagnosis. Healthy normal blood donors were enrolled from the National Blood Service (NBS), in Dorset, UK. All subjects provided informed consent, and these studies were approved by Wandsworth Research Ethics Committee, St George's Hospital, Cranmer Terrace, London SW17 0RE.

The BLT cohort comprised 226 anonymised serum samples taken in 2008-2009 (57 from the antenatal clinic; 58 with haematological disorders; 55 liver patients and 56 from the renal clinic). Clotted blood was separated by centrifugation, and the serum supernatant was removed, stored at -20°C and defrosted once. Ethical approval for the use of these samples for assay development was issued by UCLH NHS trust and adopted by chairman's action at BLT.

The GC cohort comprised 28 CFS patients (20 sera and 8 plasma samples) and 12 controls (8 sera and 4 plasma samples) from the West of Scotland catchment area. CFS patients were aged between 28 and 79, with a male to female ratio of 16:12. Samples were collected

between 1995 and 2003. Controls were aged between 23 and 63, with a male to female ratio of 7:5. Samples were collected between 2002 and 2004. Some controls were relatives of the patients, and some were hospital staff volunteers. All patients met the Fukuda criteria (9). Ethical permission for blood samples to be analysed for the presence of viruses was granted by Southern General Hospital NHS Trust Local Ethics Committee.

PCR

Genomic (g)DNA was prepared from PBMC from SGUL patients and controls using the QIAamp DNA mini kit (Qiagen) and amplified using the RepliG Ultrafast Mini Kit (Qiagen), which provides highly uniform amplification of all sequences, with negligible sequence bias. The concentrations after amplification ranged from 108 - 586 ng/μl. Initially, 48 CFS patient gDNA samples were screened by single-round PCR for *gag* and *env* genes, as well as GAPDH, as outlined by Lombardi *et al.* [8] (Table 1). This PCR was performed in a 50 μl reaction volume consisting of 25 μl amplitaq gold PCR mastermix and a final DNA concentration of 2-5 ng/μl. Cycling was modified as appropriate to our mastermix; 95°C for 5 min, (95°C for 30 sec, 57°C for 30 sec, and 72°C for 60 sec) for 45 cycles, hold at 72°C for 7 min, store at 4°C. Products were visualized on 3% agarose gels by ethidium bromide staining. As we did not amplify any products using this PCR, we developed two more sensitive real-time qPCR assays which targeted 2 regions of the *env* gene, beginning at nt 6173 and

Table 1 Primer sequences used in XMRV-specific PCRs

Primer	Sequence	Reference
419F <i>gag</i>	ATCAGTTAACCTACCCGAGTCGGAC	Lombardi <i>et al.</i> , 2009
1154R <i>gag</i>	GCCGCCTCTTCTCATTGTCT	Lombardi <i>et al.</i> , 2009
5922F <i>env</i>	GCTAATGCTACCTCCCTCTGG	Lombardi <i>et al.</i> , 2009
6273R <i>env</i>	GGAGCCCACTGAGGAATCAAACAGG	Lombardi <i>et al.</i> , 2009
hGAPDH-66F	GAAGGTGAAGGTCGGAGTC	Lombardi <i>et al.</i> , 2009
hGAPDH-291R	GAAGATGGTGATGGGATTTTC	Lombardi <i>et al.</i> , 2009
Real-time PCR		
6173 <i>env</i> F	GGCATACTGGAAGCCATCATCATC	
6173 <i>env</i> R	CCTGACCCTTAGGAGTGTTTCC	
6173 <i>env</i> probe	ATGGGACCTAATTTC	
6682 <i>env</i> F	GTGCTGGCTGTGTCTAGTATCG	
6682 <i>env</i> R	GCAGAGGTATGTTGGAGTAAGTAC	
6682 <i>env</i> probe	ACGGCCACCCCTTCGT	

6682, respectively (Table 1). These were used to screen samples of gDNA (prepared from PBMC) or cDNA (prepared from total RNA extracted using the Paxgene system from Preanalytix, UK) from CFS and normal blood donors. In total, 136 CFS gDNA and 140 CFS cDNA samples and 95 control gDNA and 141 control cDNA samples were analysed, such that all 142 CFS patients and 157 blood donors were screened for XMRV using these assays in either genomic DNA, cDNA or both. GAPDH was also amplified as a control using a commercial primer and probe set (Hs_9999905_m1 from Applied Biosystems). Real-time qPCR reactions were performed in 10 μ l total volume, consisting of 5 μ l PCR mastermix, 0.5 μ l (20 \times) Taqman primers/probe mix, 4.5 μ l sample (for gDNA, 1 μ l gDNA (100-590 ng) and 3.5 μ l DEPC-treated water (Ambion); for cDNA, 4.5 μ l cDNA). Cycling times and temperatures were as follows. Initial denaturation occurred for 10 min at 95°C, followed by 40 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 15 sec and combined primer annealing/extension at 60°C for 1 min. Data were displayed using SDS 1.3.1 software (ABI).

Plasmids

VP62 XMRV clone was a gift of Robert Silverman [2]. HG1 is a replication-incompetent XMRV clone constructed by site-directed mutagenesis of VP62 (the packaging signal was removed by deleting nucleotides 293-388, as numbered in GenBank EF185282; and nucleotides 7720-8108 were replaced by a BsrG1 site to remove the U3 region). Moloney-MLV Gag-Pol was expressed from KB4, a vector synthesized by cloning the *gag-pol* region from pMD-MLV GagPol [10] into pcDNA3.1. Viral genomic RNA was expressed from an MLV-based retroviral vector encoding β -galactosidase (LTR-LacZ [10]), and envelope proteins were encoded by constructs for either NZB xenotropic envelope, MLV(X) (a gift of Massimo Pizzato), Moloney-MLV env (MOSAF, a gift of Yasu Takeuchi), Friend-MLV env [10], or the G-protein from vesicular stomatitis virus (VSV-G) [11].

Virus production

Replication defective XMRV virus was prepared for neutralisation assays by co-transfecting 293T cells with HG1 and LTR-LacZ. Pseudotyped MLV was prepared by co-transfecting 293T cells with KB4, LTR-LacZ and an envelope-encoding plasmid (either MLV(X), MOSAF, Friend or VSV-G). After ~18 hours, cells were washed, and fresh media was added for a further ~24 hours, before viral supernatants were harvested, filtered, and the viral titre was measured by ELISA for RT activity (Cavidi tech). Viral stocks were titrated on D17 cells, an established, easily infectable dog cell line, or NIH-3T3 cells for Friend- and Moloney- pseudotyped MLV. After 48 hours, the cells were assayed for β -galactosidase

activity using the Galacto-Star system (Applied Biosystems). The amount of virus to be used in the neutralisation assays was determined as the volume of supernatant added to 3.5×10^3 cells that resulted in $\sim 4 \times 10^4$ counts per second of chemiluminescence.

Neutralisation assays

Neutralisation assays were performed as reported in [12]. Monoclonal antibodies to MLV Env proteins (shown in Table 2) were gifts from Leonard Evans and have been previously described [13,14]. They were provided and used as untreated hybridoma cell supernatants that were serially diluted two-fold before adding to virus to assess neutralisation activity as for serum, detailed below. Serum samples were heat inactivated at 56°C for 30 min. 5 μ l serum were then added to 95 μ l media in a 96-well tissue culture plate, and samples were serially diluted two-fold, leaving 50 μ l at each dilution. 50 μ l virus-containing supernatant were then added to each well, and the plate was incubated at 37°C for 1 hour. Following incubation, 100 μ l containing 3.5×10^3 D17 cells (or NIH-3T3 cells for Friend or Moloney-MLV neutralisation) were added to each well, and the plate was incubated at 37°C. After 48 hours the cells were lysed, and β -galactosidase activity was measured. Infectivity corresponded to counts per second of chemiluminescence.

Results

PCR screening

Lombardi *et al.* have recently detected XMRV DNA in 67% of CFS patients by PCR [8]. To confirm an association of XMRV with this disease, we performed PCR for *gag*, *env* and GAPDH on 48 (of 142) CFS patient gDNA samples from SGUL using the previously published

Table 2 Neutralisation properties of different monoclonal antibodies against XMRV and MLV pseudotyped with three different envelopes.

Hybridoma ¹	Raised in	Isotype	XMRV	Neutralisation of		
				MLV(X)	Friend	Moloney
83A25 ¹	Rat	IgG2A	Y (88)	Y (89)	ND	ND
24-7	Mouse	IgMK	N	N	ND	ND
48	Mouse	IgG2A	N	N	Y (95)	Y (83)
538	Mouse	IgM	N	N	N	Y (63)
603	Mouse	IgM	N	Y (96)	N	ND
609	Mouse	IgM	Y (71)	N	ND	ND
610	Mouse	IgM	N	Y (64)	ND	ND
613	Mouse	IgM	N	Y (91)	ND	ND
615	Mouse	IgM	N	N	ND	ND

Y indicates neutralisation; N indicates no neutralisation; ND is not determined. The number in brackets refers to the percentage neutralisation at the least diluted antibody concentration.

¹ See references [13] and [14] for description of hybridoma cell lines

single-round PCR methodology (Table 1 and [8]. However, although all samples were positive for GAPDH, we found no evidence of XMRV DNA in any of the samples (data not shown). In case we were missing low levels of viral DNA, we devised a more sensitive qPCR-based approach. To test the sensitivity of this method, triplicate, serial 1:10 dilutions of VP62 plasmid encoding the full length XMRV genome were added to PBMC DNA from a healthy donor and tested by Taqman PCR with either env 6173 or env 6682 primers (Table 1). All replicates calculated to contain 16 copies of XMRV routinely yielded a product within 37 cycles whereas only one of three replicates of the next dilution scored positive (Figure 1). We concluded that our assay was capable of reliably detecting as little as 16 copies of proviral DNA and was therefore likely to be as sensitive, if not more so, than the assays previously used [8]. We then tested the entire SGUL panel of 142 CFS samples and 157 of the control samples (either gDNA, cDNA or both) with both env 6173 and env 6682 primers. Although positive for GAPDH, all samples were negative for XMRV. To exclude the possibility of specific sample-mediated PCR inhibition, we spiked 3 normal control cDNAs, which had previously tested negative for XMRV nucleic acid,

with XMRV VP62 DNA, to a final concentration of 2.3×10^{-6} ng/ μ l and repeated the qPCR using both env 6173 and env 6682 primer sets. We successfully amplified the VP62 in these reactions, proving that the PCR should have amplified XMRV from the patient samples if it was present.

Neutralisation assays

In light of the negative data obtained using PCR assays, we set out to search for evidence of XMRV infection using a second method. Viral infection can elicit a neutralising antibody response [12]. Demonstration of such a neutralising activity can be taken as evidence for a viral infection, perhaps in cell types that were not sampled in blood. Defining neutralisation is difficult in the absence of known positive and negative sera. However, a number of neutralising monoclonal antibodies directed against the Env protein of murine retroviruses have been described [13,14]. We therefore obtained several of these (gifts of Leonard Evans) and tested them for neutralisation of XMRV and NZB xenotropic MLV (X) as well as ecotropic Friend and Moloney MLV (Table 2) by assaying for a reduction in virus infectivity following incubation of virus-containing supernatant





Figure 1 Sensitivity of PCR screening for XMRV in PBMC DNA. VP62 plasmid was serially diluted 1:10 into PBMC DNA from a healthy donor and tested by Taqman PCR with env 6173 primers and probe. The final amount of VP62 DNA in the reaction was A, 2.3×10^{-2} ng, B, 2.3×10^{-3} ng, C, 2.3×10^{-4} ng, D, 2.3×10^{-5} ng, E, 2.3×10^{-6} ng, F, 2.3×10^{-7} ng or G, 2.3×10^{-8} ng. The limit of sensitivity was 2.3×10^{-7} ng (shown by trace F) which equates to 16 molecules of VP62 XMRV clone.

with the monoclonal antibody. As anticipated, some monoclonal antibodies were able to neutralise XMRV (83A25' and 609) whilst others had no effect on XMRV infectivity. Interestingly, we identified three monoclonal antibodies that neutralised MLV(X) but not XMRV (603, 610 and 613) and one that neutralised XMRV but not MLV(X) (609). These reagents may therefore be useful tools with which to distinguish XMRV from other xenotropic MLVs in future investigations. From these experiments we defined two negative (603 and 613) and one positive (83A25') antibody controls for

further experiments. To validate the neutralisation assay and examine the possible range of responses to "normal serum", we tested neutralisation using a panel of 226 serum samples from BLT. Previous investigations have detected XMRV DNA in ~1-6% of control samples [5,6,8]. Of our panel only a handful showed possible neutralisation activity, giving curves similar to that shown in Figure 2A, with reductions in viral infectivity similar or greater than that seen with the positive control, monoclonal 83A25'. Over 90% of the samples tested had less than a 2-fold effect on infectivity (Figure




3A). From these data, we have defined a positive as a sample that reduces viral infectivity by at least 70% at a dilution of 1/40 and gives a reduction of 50% at a 1/80 dilution. According to this definition, the BLT sample set contains 3 neutralising sera, identifying 1.3% of samples as positive.


To confirm that the neutralisation activity demonstrated was specific for XMRV, we tested a subset of sera for neutralisation of XMRV alongside MLV particles pseudotyped with different envelope proteins from MLV (X), Friend-MLV or VSV. As shown in Figure 2B, of these four virus preparations, only XMRV infectivity was inhibited by any of the sera tested. Even the infectivity of particles expressing the closely related MLV(X) envelope that is 94% identical to XMRV was unaffected by sera that inhibited XMRV (Figure 2B, squares). Thus, it seems that the neutralising activity is specific for XMRV.

We therefore felt this assay was sensitive and specific enough to examine the neutralising ability of the SGUL cohort of blinded patient serum samples. After unblinding the samples, it emerged that of the 142 CFS patient sera tested none was positive as defined by the criteria above (Figure 3B). These results suggested that there was no link between XMRV and CFS. By contrast, the control group of 157 blood donors contained 22

positives, a frequency of 14%, considerably higher than that seen in the BLT group (Figure 3C). It was also noticeable that the neutralising activity of all but one of the SGUL positive samples was much stronger than the BLT positive samples (compare Figure 2A with Figure 4A). In fact, most of the SGUL positive sera reduced XMRV infectivity by 100 fold at both 1/40 and 1/80 dilutions. Intriguingly, many of these serum samples were collected from a single blood donation session. Some samples from this session, however, were negative. Surprisingly, PCR analyses of DNA samples corresponding to the positive sera from the SGUL controls were uniformly negative. We therefore investigated the specificity of this response by testing 21 of the positive sera for neutralisation of MLV pseudotyped with the envelope proteins from MLV(X), Friend-MLV or VSV. In every case, the serum was able to neutralise additional viruses to XMRV, including particles pseudotyped with the non-retroviral envelope from VSV (Figure 4B and Table 3). This implied that the strong positive neutralising activity demonstrated by the SGUL blood donor controls was not specific to XMRV, and in all likelihood was not elicited by this virus.

To test whether the SGUL cohort of CFS patients was unique, we also tested 40 samples (including some





plasma samples as well as sera) from a separate CFS cohort in our neutralisation assay. This GC cohort revealed a solitary positive out of 28 CFS samples (3.6%), and no positives out of 12 control samples. The positive CFS patient serum was also able to neutralise MLV pseudotyped with either MLV(X) or Friend envelopes, although interestingly, it was not able to neutralise VSV-G pseudotyped MLV (Table 3). Neutralisation data from the different cohorts are summarized in Table 4. Thus, in summary, we found no association of XMRV with either CFS cohort.

Discussion

We set out with the intention of confirming the results of Lombardi *et al.* [8] concerning the association of XMRV with CFS. In total, we tested 142 CFS samples for both the presence of XMRV DNA in PBMCs by PCR and for the presence of neutralising antibodies against XMRV in our viral neutralisation assay, and a further 28 CFS samples for neutralising antibodies only. However, in contrast to Lombardi *et al.*, we found no evidence of XMRV DNA in any patient samples tested, and only a single neutralisation-positive patient serum. Our findings

Table 3 Neutralisation properties of different human sera against XMRV and MLV pseudotyped with three different envelopes.

Sample ID	Neutralisation of				XMRV detected by PCR
	XMRV	MLV(X)	Friend	VSV	
Barts and the London					
Q488	+	-	-	-	ND
Q610	+	-	-	-	ND
Q663	+	ND	ND	ND	ND
St George's University of London					
Q302	++	++	++	++	no
Q304	++	++	++	++	no
Q305	++	++	++	++	no
Q306	++	++	++	++	no
Q307	++	+	+	-	no
Q308	++	++	++	++	no
Q309	++	++	++	++	no
Q310	++	++	++	++	no
Q311	++	+	+	+	no
Q312	++	++	++	++	no
Q313	++	++	++	++	no
Q314	++	ND	ND	++	no
Q315	++	++	++	++	no
Q316	++	++	++	++	no
Q317	++	++	++	++	no
Q319	++	ND	ND	++	no
Q320	++	++	++	++	no
Q321	++	++	++	++	no
Q323	++	++	++	++	no
Q324	++	++	++	++	no
Q326	++	ND	ND	ND	no
Q372	+	-	-	+	no
Glasgow Calendonian University					
Q125	+	++	++	-	ND

+ indicates neutralising activity; ++ indicates strong neutralising activity; - indicates no neutralising activity; ND is no determined.

therefore appear inconsistent with the previous report that isolated XMRV from PBMCs of CFS patients. We are confident that, although we are unable to replicate the PCR detection of XMRV in PBMC DNA from CFS patients, our PCR assay is more sensitive than the published single round PCR method and should have possessed the necessary sensitivity to detect XMRV if it was indeed present (Figure 1). Furthermore, we were able to detect neutralising activity in one patient and in several control serum samples (Table 4 and Figure 3), implying that our neutralisation assay also has the required sensitivity. The lack of neutralising activity in CFS samples compared to controls could reflect an inability to mount an immune response in these patients. However, in that case, the virus would be expected to replicate to higher levels in CFS patients making it easier to detect by PCR. As we could not detect any evidence of XMRV infection by our PCR assays, we think this is an unlikely

explanation. Thus, in our cohorts, we found no association of XMRV with CFS. This is in stark contrast to the result of Lombardi *et al.* [8]. However, it is thought likely that the term CFS defines multiple diseases [15-17], and it remains formally possible that a fraction of these are associated with XMRV. During the submission of this manuscript another report was published by Erlwein *et al.* that also failed to detect XMRV in CFS patients by PCR [18]. The publication of these results has promoted much discussion and controversy amongst CFS researchers and patients alike, and has highlighted the need for additional investigations in this area. Following the findings reported here, it would seem a prudent next step for subsequent studies to compare samples and protocols between different laboratories around the world.

There have also been conflicting reports describing the association of XMRV with prostate cancer. Two studies from the USA [1,5] have found an increased

Table 4 Summary of number of positive sera with XMRV neutralisation properties

Sample cohort	Positive	Total number
Barts and the London		
Control	3	226
St Georges University of London		
CFS	0	142
Control	22	157
Glasgow Caledonian University		
CFS	1	28
Control	0	12

prevalence of the virus in prostate cancer patients, although they differed as to whether this was dependent on the RNASEL genotype of the patient. Conversely, two German studies failed to establish a link between the virus and disease [6,7]. Nevertheless, XMRV has been detected in the control groups in multiple investigations [5,6,8], with the incidence varying between 1 and 6%. In our serological studies we have also identified neutralising activity against XMRV in around 4% of all the samples examined. Remarkably many (but not all) of the seropositive samples were identified in a relatively small group of blood donors within the SGUL cohort, possibly suggesting a local outbreak of infection. There is no evidence that this group are related or that they have a particularly high risk of acquiring a retroviral infection. Therefore, an outbreak of this kind seems unlikely. Moreover, all but one of the positive samples from the SGUL set we tested were also able to neutralise MLV pseudotyped with the envelope protein from VSV (Table 3). The one serum that failed to neutralise VSV-G pseudotyped MLV was, however, able to neutralise MLV particles pseudotyped with other retroviral envelopes. We therefore consider these positives from healthy blood donors to be non-specific cross reacting responses. The remaining four positive samples from the BLT and GC cohorts had much weaker neutralisation activities and did not neutralise VSV-G pseudotyped MLV, although, again, the positive serum from GC did neutralise particles expressing other retroviral envelopes (Table 3). Although we cannot rule out the possibility that the activity of these samples against XMRV is also non-specific, one possible explanation for these serological findings remains that XMRV infection has occurred in around one percent of the population. This figure is consistent with the general prevalence in control samples previously reported. Given the common oncogenic properties of gammaretroviruses [19] and the reported link between XMRV and prostate cancer [1,5], such an observation might be of considerable significance, particularly for the blood transfusion services. It should, however, be noted that we have so far been

unable to reliably detect bacterially expressed XMRV Gag proteins by using these sera in immunoblotting experiments. It is therefore conceivable that these neutralising activities were not elicited by XMRV. Further investigations are required to determine the nature of these antiviral activities.

Conclusions

In summary, we have studied 299 DNA samples and 565 serum samples for evidence of XMRV infection. We have not identified XMRV DNA in any samples by PCR, however, some serum samples were able to neutralise XMRV infectivity in our assay. Only one of these positive sera came from a CFS patient, implying that there is no association between XMRV infection and CFS. Furthermore, most of the positive sera were also able to neutralise MLV particles pseudotyped with other envelope proteins, indicating there may be cross reactivity with other retroviruses and even other enveloped viruses. It therefore seems unlikely that these responses were elicited by XMRV. However, the detection of neutralising activity that did not neutralise VSV-G pseudotyped MLV in at least four human sera may indicate that XMRV infection does occur at in the general population, although the outcome of such infections is currently uncertain.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the UK Medical Research Council (file reference (KB) U117592729 and (JS) U117512710), The Wellcome Trust (grant ID 084955) and CFS Research Foundation, UK. We acknowledge The Cunningham Trust for funding to SH. KNB is a Wellcome Trust Career Development Fellow. We thank Leonard Evans for anti-MLV Env hybridoma supernatants and Robin Weiss and Nigel Temperton for helpful advice about neutralisation assays. We thank the following clinicians who provided patients for the present study; Dr Selwyn Richards, Dr Janice Main, Prof David J Nutt, Dr David Honeybourne, Dr Luis Nacul, Dr Amolak Bansal, Prof Peter Behan and Dr Abhijit Chaudhuri, and Mark Quinlivan for retrieving samples.

Author details

¹Division of Virology, MRC National Institute for Medical Research, The Ridgeway, Mill Hill, London NW7 1AA, UK. ²CFS Group, Division of Cellular & Molecular Medicine, St George's University of London, Cranmer Terrace, London SW17 0RE, UK. ³The Centre for Forensic Investigation, Dept of Biological and Biomedical Sciences, Glasgow Caledonian University, Glasgow G4 0BA, UK. ⁴Department of Virology, Barts and The London NHS Trust, 18 Newark St, Whitechapel, London E1 2ES, UK. ⁵Division of Infection and Immunity, University College London, Windeyer Building, 46 Cleveland St, London W1T 4JF, UK.

Authors' contributions

JK, JS and KB conceived and designed the investigation. HG and VB carried out the viral neutralisation assays and analysed the data. KM, ER, SB and JK performed the PCR analyses. SH, JG, FM, JB and JK provided patient samples. JS and KB analysed the data and drafted the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Received: 11 January 2010

Accepted: 15 February 2010 Published: 15 February 2010

References

1. Urisman A, Molinaro RJ, Fischer N, Plummer SJ, Casey G, Klein EA, Malathi K, Magi-Galluzzi C, Tubbs RR, Ganem D, Silverman RH, DeRisi JL: **Identification of a novel Gammaretrovirus in prostate tumors of patients homozygous for R462Q RNASEL variant.** *PLoS Pathog* 2006, **2**:e25.
2. Dong B, Kim S, Hong S, Das Gupta J, Malathi K, Klein EA, Ganem D, Derisi JL, Chow SA, Silverman RH: **An infectious retrovirus susceptible to an IFN antiviral pathway from human prostate tumors.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2007, **104**:1655-1660.
3. Jern P, Stoye JP, Coffin JM: **Role of APOBEC3 in genetic diversity among endogenous murine leukemia viruses.** *PLoS Genet* 2007, **3**:2014-2022.
4. Coffin JM, Stoye JP: **Virology. A new virus for old diseases?.** *Science* 2009, **326**:530-531.
5. Schlager R, Choe DJ, Brown KR, Thaker HM, Singh IR: **XMRV is present in malignant prostatic epithelium and is associated with prostate cancer, especially high-grade tumors.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2009, **106**:16351-16356.
6. Fischer N, Hellwinkel O, Schulz C, Chun FK, Huland H, Aepfelbacher M, Schlomm T: **Prevalence of human gammaretrovirus XMRV in sporadic prostate cancer.** *J Clin Virol* 2008, **43**:277-283.
7. Hohn O, Krause H, Barbarotto P, Niederstadt L, Beimforde N, Denner J, Miller K, Kurth R, Bannert N: **Lack of evidence for xenotropic murine leukemia virus-related virus (XMRV) in German prostate cancer patients.** *Retrovirology* 2009, **6**:92.
8. Lombardi VC, Ruscetti FW, Das Gupta J, Pfof MA, Hagen KS, Peterson DL, Ruscetti SK, Bagni RK, Petrow-Sadowski C, Gold B, Dean M, Silverman RH, Mikovits JA: **Detection of an Infectious Retrovirus, XMRV, in Blood Cells of Patients with Chronic Fatigue Syndrome.** *Science* 2009, **326**:585-589.
9. Fukuda K, Straus SE, Hickie I, Sharpe MC, Dobbins JG, Komaroff A: **The chronic fatigue syndrome: a comprehensive approach to its definition and study.** International Chronic Fatigue Syndrome Study Group. *Ann Intern Med* 1994, **121**:953-959.
10. Mothes W, Boerger AL, Narayan S, Cunningham JM, Young JA: **Retroviral entry mediated by receptor priming and low pH triggering of an envelope glycoprotein.** *Cell* 2000, **103**:679-689.
11. Bock M, Bishop KN, Towers G, Stoye JP: **Use of a transient assay for studying the genetic determinants of Fv1 restriction.** *J Virol* 2000, **74**:7422-7430.
12. Temperton NJ, Hoschler K, Major D, Nicolson C, Manvell R, Hien VM, Ha do Q, de Jong M, Zambon M, Takeuchi Y, Weiss RA: **A sensitive retroviral pseudotype assay for influenza H5N1-neutralizing antibodies.** *Influenza Other Respi Viruses* 2007, **1**:105-112.
13. Chesebro B, Britt W, Evans L, Wehrly K, Nishio J, Cloyd M: **Characterization of monoclonal antibodies reactive with murine leukemia viruses: use in analysis of strains of Friend MCF and Friend ecotropic murine leukemia virus.** *Virology* 1983, **127**:134-148.
14. Evans LH, Morrison RP, Malik FG, Portis J, Britt WJ: **A neutralizable epitope common to the envelope glycoproteins of ecotropic, polytropic, xenotropic, and amphotropic murine leukemia viruses.** *J Virol* 1990, **64**:6176-6183.
15. Hickie I, Davenport T, Vernon SD, Nisenbaum R, Reeves WC, Hadzi-Pavlovic D, Lloyd A: **Are chronic fatigue and chronic fatigue syndrome valid clinical entities across countries and health-care settings?.** *Aust N Z J Psychiatry* 2009, **43**:25-35.
16. Kato K, Sullivan PF, Evengard B, Pedersen NL: **A population-based twin study of functional somatic syndromes.** *Psychol Med* 2009, **39**:497-505.
17. Kerr JR, Petty R, Burke B, Gough J, Fear D, Sinclair LI, Matthey DL, Richards SC, Montgomery J, Baldwin DA, Kellam P, Harrison TJ, Griffin GE, Main J, Enlander D, Nutt DJ, Holgate ST: **Gene expression subtypes in patients with chronic fatigue syndrome/myalgic encephalomyelitis.** *J Infect Dis* 2008, **197**:1171-1184.
18. Erlwein O, Kaye S, McClure MO, Weber J, Wills G, Collier D, Wessely S, Cleare A: **Failure to Detect the Novel Retrovirus XMRV in Chronic Fatigue Syndrome.** *PLoS ONE* 2010, **5**:e8519.
19. Rosenberg N, Jolicoeur P: **Retroviral pathogenesis.** *Retroviruses* Cold Spring Harbor Press, Cold Spring Harbor, NY; Coffin JM, Hughes SH, Varmus HE 1997, **Chapter 10**:475-585.

doi:10.1186/1742-4690-7-10

Cite this article as: Groom *et al.*: Absence of xenotropic murine leukemia virus-related virus in UK patients with chronic fatigue syndrome. *Retrovirology* 2010 **7**:10.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

Submit your manuscript at
www.biomedcentral.com/submit

